



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,905	12/30/2003	Milan Hajek	HAJEK ET AL - 2	7445
75	90 03/24/2005		EXAMINER	
COLLARD & ROE, P.C.			O MALLEY, KATHRYN S	
1077 Northern I Roslyn, NY 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• •			3749	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			474-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/748,905	HAJEK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kathryn S. O'Malley	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ray period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 'HS from the mailing date of this communicatio NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 13 December 2004.		
	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	allowance except for formal matte	•	S
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-8 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are solutions. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-4 and 6-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictions.	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 20 Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	004 is/are: a) accepted or b)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority does not be copied to be co	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Immary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/04. 		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) _	

Application/Control Number: 10/748,905 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejections under 35 USC 102(b) have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

- 2. In response to Applicant's argument that none of the cited references teach treating books, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant is directed to column 4, lines 50-63 of Levinson.
- 3. In response to Applicant's argument that Levinson teaches conditions that are too harsh for treating books, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant is further directed to column 2, lines 9-11 and column 3, lines 9-15 of Levinson. Levinson specifically teaches that his method and apparatus are appropriate for drying books and that the drying conditions can be changed depending on what is optimum for the object being dried. While the method and apparatus taught by Levinson may be inferior for drying books to those disclosed in the present specification, this in itself does not mean that the method and apparatus are altogether inappropriate for drying books.

Response to Amendment

4. The amendment filed 13 December 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: magnetrons 1, reflection zones 8, ventilators 2, smoke detectors 6, water outlet 4, control wheels 9,

Application/Control Number: 10/748,905 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

blocks 3. Each of these elements are present in both the drawing and addition to the specification submitted on 13 December 2004, but not in the original disclosure.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 4,103,431 to Levinson.
- 7. Levinson teaches an apparatus and method of its use for drying books (see column 4, lines 50-63) comprising microwave drying oven 5, lining the upper and lower sides with ceramic slabs 31 and 32 having a vertical hole, and moving the books through the microwave oven 5 via a continuous belt under atmospheric pressure. Note column 2, lines 9-33; column 3, lines 58-61; column 6, line 59- column 7, line 6; and Figures 1 and 3. Levinson does not specifically teach the power output and temperature ranges claimed. However, since Levison does teach that the drying parameters are controlled by variable power control 2 and that the parameters are to be adjusted according to the optimum values based on what object is being dried (note column 3, lines 9-15) and since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges

Art Unit: 3749

involves only routine skill in the art (In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233), the ranges presently claimed would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn S. O'Malley whose telephone number is (571)272-4879. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703)308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,905

Art Unit: 3749

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KSO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700